

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP****FEB 27 2006**INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
500 12th Street, Suite 200, Oakland, CA 94607
Telephone: (510) 663-1100 Facsimile: (510) 663-0920
www.beyerlaw.com**FACSIMILE COVER SHEET**

February 27, 2006

Receiver: Examiner Alonzo Chambliss
Art Unit 2814**FAX #:** 571-273-8300
(Central Fax)**Sender:** Linda Pollock for:
Desmund Gean, Registration No. 52,937**Serial No.** 10/783,608**Our Ref. No.:** ALTRP099/A1197**Re:** Response to Restriction Requirement (2 pages)
Application No.: 10/783,608
Attorney Docket No.: ALTRP099/A1197**Pages Including Cover Sheet:** 3**MESSAGE:****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE**

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

FEB 27 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Vincent Hool, et al.

Attorney Docket No.: ALTRP099/A1197

Application No.: 10/783,608

Examiner: Alonzo Chambliss

Filed: February 19, 2004

Group: 2814

Title: MODULATED FLIP CHIP SUBSTRATE
DESIGN

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Attention: Examiner Alonzo Chambliss at facsimile telephone number (571) 273-8300 on February 27, 2006.

Signed: Linda L. Pollock

Linda L. Pollock

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated January 26, 2006, Applicant hereby elects, with traverse, Species A1, wherein claims 1-13, 16-21, and 24-26 are readable thereon, to prosecute in the above-identified patent application. As noted by the Examiner, claims 1-11, 17-19, and 24-26 are generic.

Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement between Species A1 and Species A2 because it is believed that they are relatively closely related and that a proper search of one of the claims sets would require searching the same areas as a proper search for the other claims set. For instance, the impedance (an electrical parameter under Species A1) of an electrical path is relatively closely related to the thickness (a geometrical parameter under Species A2) of the electrical path. As such, it is respectfully submitted that it would not be unduly burdensome to examine at the same time both sets of method claims for designing a plurality of electrical paths in a substrate. MPEP §803 states: "If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions." Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner withdraw the restriction requirement between Species A1 and A2.


APPLICATION NO: 10/783,608

1

ALTRP099/A1197/GKK/DG

If any fees are due in connection with the filing this Response to Restriction Requirement, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge such fees to Deposit Account 500388 (Order No. ALTRP099).

Respectfully submitted,
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP


Desmund Gean
Registration No. 52,937

P.O. Box 70250
Oakland, CA 94612-0250
(510) 663-1100, ext. 202